IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

QASSIM AL-RAHEEMI,

Plaintiff,

v.

UTAH STATE PRISON MEDICAL PERSONNEL,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER TO AMEND DEFICIENT COMPLAINT

Case No. 2:09-CV-679 TS

District Judge Ted Stewart

Plaintiff, Qassim Al-Raheemi, an inmate at Utah State

Prison, filed this pro se civil rights suit. See 42 U.S.C.S. §

1983 (2009). Plaintiff was allowed to proceed in forma pauperis.

See 28 id. 1915. Reviewing the complaint under § 1915(e), the

Court has determined that Plaintiff's complaint is deficient as described below.

Deficiencies in Complaint

Complaint:

- (a) improperly names "Utah State Prison medical personnel" as a defendant, though it is not an independent legal entity that can sue or be sued.
- (b) does not clearly identify each named defendant, as they must each be individually numbered and described in detail.
- (c) is not in proper form (resubmit using court forms, follow instructions in Pro Se Litigant Guide).
- (d) has claims appearing to be based on conditions of current confinement; however, the complaint was not submitted through contract attorneys.

Instructions to Plaintiff

Under Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure a complaint is required to contain "(1) a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends, . . . (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and (3) a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). The requirements of Rule 8(a) are intended to guarantee "that defendants enjoy fair notice of what the claims against them are and the grounds upon which they rest." TV Commc'ns Network, Inc. v. ESPN, Inc., 767 F. Supp. 1062, 1069 (D. Colo. 1991), aff'd, 964 F.2d 1022 (10th Cir. 1992).

Pro se litigants are not excused from compliance with the minimal pleading requirements of Rule 8. "This is so because a pro se plaintiff requires no special legal training to recount the facts surrounding his alleged injury, and he must provide such facts if the court is to determine whether he makes out a claim on which relief can be granted." Hall v. Bellmon, 935 F.2d 1106, 1009 (10th Cir. 1991). Moreover, "it is not the proper function of the Court to assume the role of advocate for a pro se litigant." Id. at 1110. Thus, the Court cannot "supply additional facts, [or] construct a legal theory for plaintiff

that assumes facts that have not been pleaded." <u>Dunn v. White,</u> 880 F.2d 1188, 1197 (10th Cir. 1989).

Plaintiff should consider the following points before refiling his complaint. First, the revised complaint must stand entirely on its own and shall not refer to, or incorporate by reference, any portion of the original complaint. See Murray v. Archambo, 132 F.3d 609, 612 (10th Cir. 1998) (stating amended complaint supercedes original). Second, the complaint must clearly state what each individual defendant did to violate Plaintiff's civil rights. See Bennett v. Passic, 545 F.2d 1260, 1262-63 (10th Cir. 1976) (stating personal participation of each named defendant is essential allegation in civil rights action). Third, Plaintiff cannot name an individual as a defendant based solely on his or her supervisory position. See Mitchell v. Maynard, 80 F.3d 1433, 1441, (10th Cir. 1996) (stating supervisory status alone is insufficient to support liability under § 1983). Fourth, if Plaintiff's claims relate to the conditions of Plaintiff's current confinement, Plaintiff should seeks help from the prison contract attorneys in preparing initial pleadings. And, finally, Plaintiff is warned that litigants who have had three in forma pauperis cases dismissed as frivolous or meritless will be restricted from filing future lawsuits without prepaying fees.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- (1) Plaintiff shall have **THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the date of this order to cure the deficiencies noted above;
- (2) the Clerk's Office shall mail Plaintiff a copy of the Pro Se Litigant Guide;
- (3) if Plaintiff fails to timely cure the above deficiencies according to the instructions here this action will be dismissed without further notice.

DATED this 6th day of October, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

IZD STEWART

United States District Judge